

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/679,495		10/07/2003	Kiyoshi Takeuchi	0234-0471P	4055	
2292	7590	04/25/2005		EXAMINER		
		T KOLASCH &	POWERS, FIONA			
PO BOX 74 FALLS CH		VA 22040-0747	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		1626			
			DATE MAILED: 04/25/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	о.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Commence	10/679,495	•	TAKEUCHI ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Fiona T. Powe		1626					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the co	ver sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, he y within the statutory will apply and will exp , cause the applicatio	owever, may a reply be time minimum of thirty (30) days re SIX (6) MONTHS from t n to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely he mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-f	inal.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.								
	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requi	rement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note t	ne attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)🛛	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 3	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	·(d) or (f).					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/106,192.									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
	application from the International Bureau	•							
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified	copies not received	d.					
Attachment	t(s)								
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) [Interview Summary (
2) Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) [Paper No(s)/Mail Dai	e	1.152)				
	No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/7/03, 9/27/04</u> .	6) [7		7.132)				

Application/Control Number: 10/679,495

Art Unit: 1626

Receipt is acknowledged of the preliminary amendment filed November 2, 2004 and the information disclosure statements filed October 7, 2003 and September 27, 2004, which have been entered in the file.

Applicant is advised that should claims 1 to 6 and 13 be found allowable, claims 15 to 21 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 to 3, 13 and 15 to 17 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Demina et al. (Chemical Abstracts, 117:48472, 1992), cited.

The reference discloses the claimed compound and dyeforming coupler of the formula I and Ia wherein Q together with Application/Control Number: 10/679,495

Art Unit: 1626

-N-C=N- forms a 4-pyrimidone ring, R_A or R_{11} is phenyl, Y is hydrogen and X is phenyl. Note the abstract and Registry Number 142267-33-2.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1 to 21 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 to 6 and 13 to 18 of copending Application No. 10/125,548 and claims 1 to 3 of copending Application No 10/669,414 and claims 1 to 28 of copending Application No 10/679,466. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims overlap when in the instant application X is an aryl group or a phenyl group and in application '548 Ra is not a straight-chain alkyl group and in

Application/Control Number: 10/679,495

Art Unit: 1626

application '414 Q in combination with $-N=C-N(R_1)$ - forms a 6-membered ring. The compounds of the copending applications have the same utility as dye-forming couplers or azomethine dyes. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make the claimed compounds with the expectation that compounds useful as dye-forming couplers or azomethine dyes would be obtained.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

The references made of record and not relied upon show the state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fiona T. Powers whose telephone number is 571-272-0702. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph K. McKane can be reached on 571-272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Fiona T. Powers
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1626

ftp April 20, 2005